

A DEMAND THEORY OF THE PRICE LEVEL

BY M. HAGEDORN

Luigi Iovino
Bocconi and IGIER

Oct. 9th, 2017

SUMMARY

How is the price level determined?

SUMMARY

How is the price level determined?

Enough to have two simple assumptions:

1. **Incomplete** markets
 - ▶ precautionary saving
2. G and T set in **nominal** terms

THE MODEL

Very nice/clean idea

Simple intuition in Steady State
(paper is more general)

$$Y = C + \frac{\$G}{P}$$

1. Nominal G

\Rightarrow If $P \uparrow$ lower *real* demand by the gvt

2. Incomplete markets

\Rightarrow Private demand C does not respond 1-to-1

THE MODEL

When $P \uparrow$, the gvt absorbs fewer resources:

- ▶ PIH (steady state):

$$c^i = Assets^i + y^i - \frac{\$T}{P}$$

\Rightarrow Consumption responds 1-to-1: $C \equiv \int c^i = Y - \frac{\$T}{P}$

THE MODEL

When $P \uparrow$, the gvt absorbs fewer resources:

- ▶ PIH (steady state):

$$c^i = Assets^i + y^i - \frac{\$T}{P}$$

⇒ Consumption responds 1-to-1: $C \equiv \int c^i = Y - \frac{\$T}{P}$

- ▶ Precautionary saving:

$$c^i = f \left(Assets^i + y^i - \frac{\$T}{P} \right)$$

f concave and $f(x) < x$

⇒ Part of extra income is saved!

THE MODEL

Not so fast:

- ▶ So far only PE intuition
- ▶ But in GE *real* rates should adjust to clear market

THE MODEL

Not so fast:

- ▶ So far only PE intuition
- ▶ But in GE *real* rates should adjust to clear market

Not here: Fiscal & Monetary policies determine real rate:

- ▶ Growth rate of $\$G \Rightarrow$ inflation
 - ▶ Nominal interest rate set by CB
- } \Rightarrow real rate

\Rightarrow Only P left to clear goods (and asset) market

COMMENTS

PRECAUTIONARY SAVING

Key assumption: C must respond less than 1-to-1

1. Heterogenous agents (borrowers/savers) not enough (Eggertsson and Krugman 2012)
 - ▶ but risk + **nominal** contracts should work...
 - ▶ reinterpret taxes in Huggett economy?
2. Must ensure that precautionary motive does not vanish (Krusell and Smith 1998)

COMMENTS

COMMITMENT

Key assumption: (Some) spending/taxes are nominal

Different from commitment to *real* surplus in FTPL...

COMMENTS

COMMITMENT

Key assumption: (Some) spending/taxes are nominal

Different from commitment to *real* surplus in FTPL...

... but still government needs strong commitment

Suppose P_t grows over time...

- ▶ $\$T/P_t, \$G/P_t \rightarrow 0$
- ▶ Will the government stick to its policy?

COMMENTS

SUPPLY OF ASSETS

Agents save with (safe) government bonds

Private sector can also provide means of saving
(Aiyagari (1994))

COMMENTS

SUPPLY OF ASSETS

Agents save with (safe) government bonds

Private sector can also provide means of saving
(Aiyagari (1994))

- ▶ What happens when private sector can supply safe assets?

Interesting/novel prediction:

P.S.'s ability to supply safe assets has implications for P_t